GOVERNMENT OF INDIA MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS (RAILWAY BOARD)

No. 2004/CHG.II/13/stoppage policy

New Delhi, dated 16-5-2006

To

The Chief Comml. Manager/PS N.W. Raidway, Jaipur Copy to:

Chief Passenger Trans. Manager, NW Rly.

Chief Passenger Trans. Manager, All Zonal Railways

Sub.:- Provision/withdrawalof stoppages of mail/express trains, rationalization And review clarification sought by CCM/PS/NWR-

Ref.:- CCM/PS/NWR letter No. C-24/Stoppage of trains/Policy/D dated 17.4.2006.

In reference to above mentioned letter, it is clarified that the stoppage policy was reviewed by Railway Board in 2005 after almost 13 years as cost of operation which include the cost of stoppage has gone up substantially. Indian Railway is running wide variety of trains like Rajdhani, Shatabdi, superfast, Mail/Express, passengers, intercity, Jan Shatabdi, ordinary passengers etc. Policy has to cover almost all the services, therefore, it is always general in nature but the main purpose behind issuing the policy after almost one and half decade was that at least the cost of stoppage has to be recovered. The details of cost of stoppage of 2 minutes are annexed with this letter.

As Indian Railways run various categories of trains having different types of classes, it is not always possible to make a matrix of all the combinations of sale of tickets for different classes. Therefore, broad guidelines have been issued which can be easily understood keeping in mind the stoppage cost which is the base and bottom line. The base of these costs is 2001-02 as given in the annexure. The basic idea is that the losses in coaching operations are to be reduced. Provision of extra stoppages without proper commercial and operational justification affects line capacity, speed of the train and increases coaching losses as well.

The cost indicated in the annexure is mostly direct cost, the effect on line capacity, speed of trains and overall operation is not fully covered under the cost calculated. Therefore, it is necessary that the total value of the tickets sold at the station should be more than the cost of the stoppage because a new facility is being provided. Moreover, the cost has been calculated on the basis of statistics of 2001-02 and traditionally each policy is relevant for at least 4-5 years. In the coming years, the cost of operation is definitely going to go up because of increase in traffic leading to further line capacity constraints, increase in fuel cost and increase in the cost of assets etc.

thinking of patter in the third paragraph of the policy letter, various parameters have been clearly stated Toplishing sale of tickets in both directions of all the trains stopping at the station. This gives ar indication about the potential of the station. If no mail/express train is stopping, the parameters listed in this paragraph should be analyzed by zonal railways before recommending provision/withdrattel of suppage cte-

बंख मन्द्रास्थ I hope this clarifies your quest s rused in your letter.

> 6/05/01 (Devendra Singh

Director Tfc. Trans. (Coaching)

Railway Boar

(S) Culpien

Cost of detention to a through Madi/Express passenger train for two minutes

Details Details	Broad Gauge					
St. Carrier St. Ca						
A.Busic Data	Diesel			Electric		
1 Class of the train						
2 Avorage composition of the rake(coaches)	M/E	M/B	M/E	MrB	A SECTION AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	
Average booked speed of the train(Kmph)	18	20	22	18	- M/F.	ME
4 Deration of detention(min)	100	100	100	110	20	24
of Acrest loss of time with for deacoleration,	2	2	2	2.2	110	110
acceleration & half time				1 34 550 35450	2 2	2
Physics Delication of	3	8	8	8		
. Coar Da(u					. 8	8
1 Cost of additional fuel/energy consumption				100000	10000	
(a)consumption in units for stop and start				Charles State	Entre et	
(a)Cost per unit 2001-02 (ASS p202 col.68 &74)	100.49	108.49	115.49	129,94		
(c) escalated cost for 2003-04 (£ 4,07	16.07	16.07	16.07	4.18	142.91	166.25
(d) oner for additional facts	16.72	16,72	16.72	The second secon	4. 8	4.18
(d) cost for additional fuel/energy consumption	1681	1814	1931	4.35	4.35	4.35
Cost of train Km, lost			1931	56S	622	723
(a) Train KM lost *					100	
(b) Augustia and St.	8.3	8.3	8.3	Www.dkg	Auto -	
(b) Average cost of houling a coaching train one KM	399.43	399.43	399.43	9.2	9.2	9.2
Tech: 04 (U22 hase A) (G) (3)		277.43	399.41	399,43	399:43	399.43
(c) Total loss for the Train KM lost.	3329	3329	2220	10.10	30H25	
(d)Escalated loss for(2003-04 (3) 4,07	3464	3464	3329	3661	3661	3661
Telefon (T.C.)		2104	3464	3810	3810	3810
Total cost (Id+2d)	5145	5278	0007	31 1 P. D. 1822	SHE'R	
	7142	24/8	5396	4376	4432	4,534
				Hatz St	1	
Refundate of the second of	10000	-		1075 320	SA US	1

^{* (}A 3 * A 5/60) - ((A 3 * 0.5/60) * (A 5 - A 4))