भारत सरकार Government of India रेल मंत्रालय Ministry of Railways रेलवे बोर्ड Railway Board

(E-Office: 3356076) No.2021 /M(C)/142/4(15) New Delhi, Dated 25.07.2024 19027 Rev Oil to be audited against the

Sub: Minutes of Meeting held on Pressurised Flushing System/ Electro-Pneumatic Pressurised Flushing System fitted in coaches

Ref: (i) Railway Board letter no. 2021 /M(C)/142/4(15) dated 03.07.24 & 10.07.24

(ii) Railway Board's letter no. 2007/M(C)/137/16 dated 27.05.2024

- I. Railways are facing a number of complaints related to cleanliness in toilets, and a significant number of complaints in this head are on account of poor working of Electro-Pneumatic Pressurized Flushing Systems (EP-PFS)/Pressurized Flushing systems (PFS).
- II. The issue was discussed in detail during a review held at Board on 15.07.2024. and presentations were made by CWM/AIIW, CWM/LLH, Dy.CME/HRT and CDE/MCF on the subject.

The City	From Railway Board
1.	Sh. Amit Kumar Agarwal AM/ME
2.	Sh. Ravi Jain EDME/Chg.
3.	Sh. Aishvarya Sachan JDME/Chg.
4.	Sh. Pranjal Mishra JDME/ChgII
	From MCF
1.	Sh. Vivek Khare PCME/MCF
2.	Sh. D. K. Singh, CDE/MCF
3.	Sh. Ravindra Chauhan, Dy. CME/D/MCF
	From Railways
1.	Sh. Vikas Anand, CWM/AIIW/NWR
2.	Sh. Yatish Kumar, CWM/LLHW/ER
3.	Sh. Kunal Kumar, Dy. CME/HRT/ECR

III. The issues and action plans discussed during the meeting are detailed below:

S. No.	Discussion Item	Action By	
93 1 906	The data presented indicated that though there are rampant quality issues in PFS/EP-PFS, and warranty for the systems was for six years after fitment (in line with MCF Spec. MMDTS 19027 Rev. 01), the warranty obligations are not being honored by PUs.	11 8 11 8	
	The issue has already been highlighted by Board vide letter under reference (ii) above. Data from Harnaut	PCMEs/	
	Workshop also corroborated the issue - 23 out of 27 warranty complaints raised by HRTW were not honoured.	MCF, ICF and	
2	As per MCF Spec. MMDTS 19027 Rev. 01, life cycle	PCME/MCF	



1160027 :so	testing of prototype has to be done for 10 Lakh cycles for approval. MCF to set up a test system and conduct testing of all the suppliers (whether supplied through any of the PU or through ZR)	
302, VO. AV. b	All the firms which have supplied systems as per MMDTS 19027 Rev. 01 to be audited against the requirements mentioned in the specification. The Audit should be coordinated by MCF, and resources can be taken from RDSO and QA, if required. This exercise should be started immediately and completed in a month.	DG/RDSO PCME/MCF
diots, stock a belging of as Elizabethy	Although MCF is the nodal authority for the specification, it appeared that MCF is largely insulated from the problems being faced in field. This is one of the major reasons of poor warranty compliance and poor product performance. Reliability issues in the following major sub-assemblies were highlighted by Ajmer, Liluah and Harnaut Workshops:	awag tali ya ayawasi? i ya hacalingis isan tota (12 199) ancisyo
19243 1945 <i>0</i>	Water pressurizer unit: Piston jamming and scaling, piston getting corroded, damaged sealing kits Jammed water locking units	wasseren, a ethesene kna objection of the set of t
4	 iii. Defective Solenoid Valves iv. Rusted/leaky NRVs v. Flush switch getting defective, push button connector loose/broken vi. In addition, non-interchangeability of major components was highlighted 	PCME/MCF
	An in-depth analysis of the above issues from design and quality points of view was missing in the presentation made by MCF. The teams handling warranty and design at MCF should be deputed to field units to study the issues being faced.	1. Sh
woled b	It was discussed that the EP-PFS which are fitted in the under-gear are prone to damage. Also, accessing the pressurizer units in case of any malfunction is difficult. The systems should be shifted overhead, behind the space provided in the CDTS panel door.	PCMEs/ All PUs and ZRs.
5	Liluah and Ajmer Workshops to conduct a trial exercise, and submit a scheme for implementation in LHB and ICF Coaches respectively, for implementation by other Workshops undertaking POH. The scheme for implementation may be submitted to Board by 10.08.2024	PCME/ER and NWR
6 10MAM	Improvements in the design were suggested by all three Workshops. The following may be implemented in the specification: i. The supplier may be made responsible for running the EP-PFS/PFS system till the first	PCME/MCF



	SS2 Schedule. The supplier should not only be responsible for meeting component warranty claims, but also carrying out any overhaul, if needed. ii. The specification should be such that no/minor maintenance is needed before SS1 (e.g. minor cleaning of breather holes may be included, but activities involving opening of major subassemblies should be avoided to the extent possible) iii. The consensus in the meeting was to introduce Stainless Steel cylinder and piston in the both the water and air circuits: same may be explored by MCF iv. As already done for the pressurizer unit, the size and design of the control box also needs to be standardized in the new specification, so as to enable inter-changeability. The components in the control box also need to be specified. v. The passenger interface should not vary much when using systems of different makes, i.e. the design of the push button/switches should be standardized to the extent possible.	
7	It was noted that while MCF is the owner of the specification for PFS/EP-PFS, MCF is lagging behind in adoption of MMDTS 19027 Rev. 03, and ironically, other PUs have started using the revised specification already. Firm and affirmative action needs to be taken by MCF in this regard.	
8	A piston-less design was presented by MCF. Considering that majority of the issues reported were pertaining to the pressurizer unit, a trial on limited quantity of piston-less design may be explored by MCF and its performance shared.	PCME/MCF

The above is issued with the approval of competent authority.

(प्रांजल मिश्रा) संयुक्त निदेशक/ यांत्रिक इंजी. कोचिंग-॥ रेलवे बोर्ड

Copy to:

- (i) GM/MCF, RCF & ICF For kind information please.
- (ii) DG/RDSO For kind information please.
- (iii) PED/RS/RDSO, PCME/ER & NWR For kind information and necessary action please
- (iv) PCME/ MCF, RCF & ICF For kind information and necessary action please.
- (v) CWM/LLH & AllW For kind information and necessary action please.

and the second of the second property and the second second		
	SS2 Schedule. The supplier should not only be reasonsible for meeting component warranty	
	dialina, but also carrying our any overheut, if	
	beben	
	ii. The specification should be such that norminor	
	maintenance is needed before SS1 (e.g. minor	
	cisaning of breather hairs may be included; but	
	edua roiem fo primego priviovari settivida	
	triebre art or beblowe ad blacific salidmests	
	iii. The consensus in the meeting was to introduce	
	Stainless Steel cylinder and pieten in the both	
	the welet and air ciculta; same may be explored by MCF.	
	design of the push button/switches should be	
	erti to ranteo erh a TDAI alirty tarti baton asy ii	
	already. Firm and affirmative action needs to be taken.	
	A piston-less design was presented by MCF.	
POMENICE		
	quantity of preson-less design may be explored by MCF	

The above is leaued with the abproval of commission authority.

and main

मापुरत जिल्लेखा वर्गात्रेक संप्रोत क्लेसिंग-स

tof vgeO

- II) GMMCF, ROF & IOF For kind information please
 - (ii) DC/RDSO For kind information please.
- (iii) PED/RS/RDSO, PCME/ER & NV/R For kind information and necessary action please
- (w) PCME/ MCF, RCF & RCF For kind information and necessary action please.
 - (VE SAMMEET & SEVA FOR WED INTORNSHOR SING RESEARLY BOTTON DISEASE.