(भारत सरकार) GOVERNMENT OF INDIA (रेल मंत्रालय) MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS (रेलवे बोर्ड) RAILWAY BOARD

No. 2017/CE-IV/LX/Misc/244 (LCs) Pt.

New Delhi, dated 16.04.2024

General Managers, All Zonal Railways.

Sub: - Sanction of Subways at Trespass location and others.

Ref: - 1. RB's Ltr. No 2017/CE-IV/LX/Misc./244/(LCs) Pt. dated 02.03.23.

2. RB's Ltr. No 2017/CE-IV/RUB/88 dated 04.09.23.

3. RB's Ltr. No 2023/CE-IV/LX/Misc./244/(LCs) Pt. dated 11.09.23

As per revised policy dated 02.03.2023, additional road crossings can be considered looking at development of habitation on either sides of track under PH-30 based on demand, justification and priority with the approval of General Manager as per following:-

i) Para 2.1.1 Demand for permitting Water-Way Bridge for road/ pedestrian movement.

ii) Para 2.1.2 Demand for providing RUB/ FOB/ pedestrian subway at locations where no LC exists or LC closed in past.

iii) Para 2.1.3 Demand for providing RUB/ FOB/ pedestrian subway at locations where no LC exists, but proper roads already in use up to railway land boundary.

- 2.0 Subsequently vide reference letter dated 11.09.23, Zonal railways were asked to submit additional details like history of trespassing, public demand (if any) justification for deciding size of the box, justification for LHS or FOB. It was also emphasized vide ref. 2 to ensure that "No other work of Subway/FOB is proposed/Sanctioned at the proposed location in any other Pian Head".
- 3.0 Works for trespassing locations are proposed in a planned manner as per priority. It has been observed that the stipulations of the Railway Board letters are still not complied with in letter and spirit. The history of trespassing with relevant data on CRO/MRO and justification for deciding the size of box for RUB is normally missing or the justification in the proposal is not sufficient as per the proposal uploaded on IRPSM. This causes avoidable delay in arranging sanction.
- 4.0 The purpose of such works at trespassing locations is to improve safety and mobility of trains. Therefore, priority of such works needs to be examined by Safety and Traffic departments at division level. Thus, the demand of LHS/FOB at trespassing location should be examined & prioritized by a committee of Sr. DOM, Sr. DSC, Sr. DSO & Sr. DEN (Convener). Recommendations of committee duly accepted by DRM should be attached with the proposal.

5.0 This issues with the approval of Board (M/Infra, M/O&BD, DG/Safety, DG/RPF).

(Anupum Singh)
Executive Director, Civil Engineering (B&S)-II
Railway Board

Copy to: PCE, PCSC, PCSO and PCOM of all Zonal Railways for kind information and necessary action please.