



FEDERATION OF RAILWAY OFFICERS' ASSOCIATIONS

President

K. SWAMINATHAN
MTNL : 23382741

Secretary General

R.N. SINGH
MTNL : 23382852

2017/FROA/Estt./1

Dated: 07.02.2017

✓ Chairman, Railway Board,
New Delhi

Sir,

JA
7/2

Sub: Granting of HAG+ to eligible HAG officers who have been denied promotion due to non-availability of residual service

It is heartening to note that under your leadership, Ministry of Railways has redressed the long pending grievance of senior Railway officers who miss their promotion as Board Member/DG despite being eligible due to non-availability of requisite residual service, and consequently such officers are now being granted the non-functional Apex grade with effect from the date their junior is promoted to Apex grade.

Unfortunately, aforesaid provision has yet not been made applicable for HAG officers who are otherwise eligible to be promoted in HAG+ (Additional Member), but denied promotion due to non-availability of requisite residual service. Due to this anomaly, many such senior officers in HAG, though otherwise eligible to be promoted into HAG+ (Additional Member), are suffering unjustified loss. In this regard, kind attention is drawn to DoP&T's letter dated 16.06.2003 (copy enclosed) in which even the then prevailing pre-requisite of 3 months of residual service was withdrawn.

Many such HAG officers of different Services have already submitted their representations in this regard to Railway Board as well to FROA. It is therefore requested that Railway Board may kindly resolve this anomalous situation by granting non-functional HAG+ grade to all such eligible HAG officers, on the same lines as being done to grant non-functional Apex grades to similarly placed HAG+ officers. It is also

AC

JKL

requested that aforesaid provision for granting non-functional HAG+ grade to eligible HAG officers should be made applicable from the same date when it was brought into force for granting non-functional Apex grade to HAG+ officers, so that retrospective benefits can be given to all eligible HAG officers who have been denied HAG+ on ground of residual service.

With best regards !



(R.N.Singh)

Secretary General

Encl: DoP&T letter No.22011/2/2003-Estt(D), dated 16.06.2003

No. 22011/2/2003-Estt(D)
Government of India
Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances and Pensions.
(Department of Personnel and Training)

.....
New Delhi-110001
June 16, 2003

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

Subject:- Requirement of minimum residual service before retirement for promotion to posts which require approval of the ACC - Review of

.....
The undersigned is directed to say that in this Department's O.M. No. 27(4)/EO/89-ACC dated 11.4.1989, as reiterated *vide* O.M. No. 22011/11/89-Estt (D) dated 25.1.1990, it was prescribed that in respect of appointments which fall within the purview of ACC, no officer should be promoted to a higher post in his own line of promotion unless he would have a minimum service of 3 months before retirement.

2. It has been decided to rescind the above decision with immediate effect. Secretary of the Department will be responsible for keeping the Cabinet Secretariat informed of any departure.



(Alok Saxena)

Deputy Secretary to the Govt. of India.

To

All Ministries/Departments of Government of India.

Copy to:-

- 1) President's Secretariat / Vice-President's Secretariat/Prime Minister's Office / Supreme Court / Rajya Sabha Secretariat/ Lok Sabha Secretariat / Cabinet Secretariat / UPSC / CVC / C&AG / Central Administrative Tribunal (Principal Bench), New Delhi.
- 2) Establishment Officer, DoP&T.

Contd....2/-