Government of India
Ministry of Railways
Railway Board

No. 2009/V-1/CVC/1/14                              New Delhi, dated 15th July, 2010

(I) The General Manager (Vigilance)
CR, ER, ECR, ECoR,
NR, NCR, NER, NFR, NWR,
SR, SCR, SER, SECR, SWR,
WR, WCR and CORE,

(II) Chief Vigilance Officers (CVOs)
CLW, DLMW, DLW, ICF, RCF, RWF,
CORE, METRO, RDSO, CONCOR, IRCON,
IRCTC, IRFC, KRCL, MRVC, RAILTEL, RITES,
RVNL, RLDA & DFCCIL.

Sub: Procedure for dealing with cases involving Group ‘C’ and ‘D’
employees in CVC composite cases (Advance Correction Slip
No.11)

Ministry of Railways (Railway Board) have decided to add a new para
513.4 below the modified para 513.3 to the Indian Railways Vigilance
Manual (2006 Edition) specifying the procedure for seeking second stage
advice in respect of major penalty cases involving Group ‘C’ and ‘D’
employees in CVC composite cases, as per the enclosed Advance
Correction Slip No.11

Para 2.3.1 of Board’s letter No.2006/V-1/Meet/6/1 dated 19.7.2006
may be superceded to read as in the ACS No.11.

DA/As above.

(Vikas Purwar)
Director Vigilance (M)
Railway Board

Copy to :- All Officers and the Branches of Vigilance Directorate.
ADVANCE CORRECTION SLIP NO.11

Addition in Chapter-V of Indian Railway Vigilance Manual
(2006 Edition)

A new para 513.4, below para 513.3 specifying the procedure for seeking second stage advice in respect of major penalty cases involving Group ‘C’ and ‘D’ employees in CVC composite cases is added as under:

**New para 513A shall read as follows:**

As a corollary to para 513.3, it is now proposed that in composite cases, where the Commission had tendered its first stage advice for all category of officers, reference to Board for the approval of Adviser(Vigilance) as the Chief Vigilance Officer of the Ministry of Railways should be restricted only to officers falling under CVC/Railway Board’s jurisdiction viz. Group ‘A’, Group’B’ and Group’B’ Officers officiating in Sr.Scale. Cases involving Group ‘C’ and “D” employees need not be referred to Railway Board for second stage advice if the proposed penalty is in line with the Commission’s first stage advice. Details of the final outcome of the case may be sent to Board for information. However, if there is a difference of opinion between the Commission and the DA, the same should be referred to Board for advice.