Government of India  
Ministry of Railways  
Railway Board  

No. 2014/V-1/VP/1/12  

New Delhi, dated 08/07/2016  

The General Manager(Vigilance)  
Zonal Railways  
CORE/ALD, NF(CONST)/  
METRO/KOLKATA  

Chief Vigilance Officers/PUs/PSUs  

Director Generals /  
RDSO/LKO & NAIR/Vadodara  

CAO/COFMOW  
DMW/Patiala  


Ministry of Railways (Railway Board) have decided to modify the existing para 513 of the Indian Railways Vigilance Manual (2006 Edition) on the procedure for obtaining the second stage advice of CVC/Adviser(Vigilance), as per the enclosed Advance Correction Slip No.22.

DA: As above  

(Mayank Tewari)  
Director Vigilance (M)  
Railway Board  

Copy to:-

i) All Officers and the Branches of Vigilance Directorate

ii) AIRF, NFIR, IRPOF, FROA & AIRPFA
ADVANCE CORRECTION SLIP NO.22

Existing para 513 of Chapter V of IRVM 2006 Edition stands amended to read as under:

513. Procedure for obtaining Second Stage Advice

513.1 First stage advice of the Commission/Advisor Vigilance is obtained on the investigation report and second stage advice is obtained before the final decision is taken in disciplinary proceedings arising out of first stage advice. The Central Vigilance Commission and Adviser Vigilance (Railway Board) render first and second stage advice for officers falling within their respective jurisdictions. In all cases, including composite vigilance cases, second stage advice is to be rendered by the authority that gave first stage advice. This includes cases where the first stage advice may have been for pursuing either major penalty action or minor penalty action.

513.2 In cases where the DA, on conclusion of the disciplinary proceedings, proposes to take action in line with the first stage advice of the Commission/Advisor Vigilance and the imposition of proposed penalty is within her/his competence, second stage advice is not required to be obtained. The DA should straightaway impose the penalty by issuing a Reasoned Speaking Order. In all such cases, SDGM/CVO should forward an Action Taken report along with the copy of the IO report where relevant and the final order issued by the DA for the record of Board Vigilance and the Commission.

513.3 In cases where the DA in Zonal Railway/Production Unit proposes to take action in line with the first stage advice of the Commission/Advisor Vigilance, but is not competent to do so, the case is to be referred to the competent disciplinary authority in Railway Board.

513.4 In cases where the DA, either at Zonal Railway/Production Unit or Board Level, proposes to take any action that is at variance with the first stage advice of the Commission or Adviser Vigilance (Railway Board), the case along with the Disciplinary Authority’s detailed note giving reasons for his provisional decision and vigilance comments thereon, must be sent for obtaining second stage advice of CVC or Adviser Vigilance (Railway Board), as relevant.

513.5 In the case of non-gazetted staff figuring in composite vigilance cases, reference to the Commission or Advisor Vigilance is to be made for seeking second stage advice, if the DA proposes to take any action that is at variance with the first stage advice.