(I) **The General Manager (Vigilance)**  
CR, ER, ECR, ECoR,  
NR, NCR, NFR, NWR,  
SR, SCR, SER, SECR, SWR,  
WR, WCR and CORE,

(II) **Chief Vigilance Officers (CVOs)**  
CLW, DLMW, DLW, ICF, RCF, RWF,  
CORE, METRO, RDSO, CONCOR, IRCON,  
IRCTC, IRFC, KRCL, MRVC, RAILTEL, RITES,  
RVNL, RLDA & DFCCIL.

**Sub:** Procedure for sending Investigation Reports to the Commission for seeking its first stage advice in cases where closure has been recommended by the Chief Vigilance Officer

It has now been decided that in investigation reports where the vigilance findings do not establish any vigilance angle and the case is proposed to be closed without any penal action, there is no need for obtaining the comments of the Disciplinary Authority/General Manager. The vigilance report should be sent to Railway Board for obtaining the comments of the CVO before the same is sent to the Central Vigilance Commission for obtaining their first stage advice. However, in cases where irregularities have been found, the views of the DA/GM should be taken along with the comments of the PHODs and the case sent to Board for obtaining the comments of the CVO/Ministry of Railways.

This has the approval of the Adviser (Vigilance) as Chief Vigilance Officer of this Ministry.

(Vikas Purwar)  
Director Vigilance (M)  
Railway Board

Copy to:  
i) AIRF, NFIR, IRPOF, FROA & AIRPFA  
ii) All Officers and the Branches of Vigilance Directorate.  
iii) Central Vigilance Commission w.r.t their OM No. 009/VGL/056/72484 dated 21.1.2010